UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/599,328	07/08/2008	Thomas Portele	DE 040083	7016	
	7590 03/08/2011 ELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS 01 MANOR, NY 10510		EXAMINER		
	P.O. BOX 3001			SAX, STEVEN PAUL	
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2174		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/08/2011	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

vera.kublanov@philips.com debbie.henn@philips.com marianne.fox@philips.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/599,328	PORTELE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Steven P. Sax	2174
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realiure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Dec 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the day on the day of the day of the day of the drawing (s) is objected in the drawing (s) is objected to by the drawing (s) is objected to be d	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Inail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F	ate
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office		art of Paper No./Mail Date 20110302

Art Unit: 2174

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The amendment filed 12/15/10 has been entered.
- 2. In view of the amendment and remarks, the objection to claims 1 and 10 has been removed.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Law (7712031) and Hiipakka (2003/0098892).
- 5. Regarding claim 1, Law shows a method for driving multiple elements of an application (A1, A2, A3, ..., An) by a common dialog management system where a unique set of auditory icons (S1, S2, S3, ..., Sn) is assigned to each application element (A1, A2, A3, ..., An) [abstract, Figures 4-5, column 1 lines 55-67, column 4 lines 3-30], and where the common dialog management system informs a user of the status of an application element (A1, A2, A3, ..., An) by playback [column 2 lines 10-35, column 4 lines 30-60], at a specific point in a dialog flow, of a relevant auditory icon (I1, I2, I3, ...,

Application/Control Number: 10/599,328

Art Unit: 2174

In) selected from the unique set of auditory icons (S1, S2, S3, ..., Sn) of the respective application element (A1, A2, A3, ..., An) [Figure 4, column 35-55, column 5 lines 20-50]. Law does not go into the details that each application element is a separate application per se, but does show distinguishing different functions with auditory icons.

Furthermore, Hiipakka does show representing different applications by auditory icons, to distinguish different functions [abstract, Figure 1, para 26, 28, 32, note the different application examples associated with the auditory icons]. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to have this in Law, because it would be a convenient way to distinguish different functions with auditory icons.

Page 3

- 6. Regarding claim 2, the auditory icons (I1, I2, I3, ..., In) of an application (A1, A2, A3, ..., An) are played back to indicate to the user a change in operational status of an application (A1, A2, A3, ..., An) [Law column 4 lines 40-60].
- 7. Regarding claim 3, an application (A1, A2, A3, ..., An) submits a set of auditory icons (S1, S2, S3, ..., Sn) and associated instructions concerning the use thereof to the dialog management system (I) [Law column 4 lines 20-40].
- 8. Regarding claim 4, identifying information for the individual auditory icons (I1, I2, I3, ..., In) of an application (A1, A2, A3, ..., An) and associated instructions are obtained by the dialog management system (I), and the auditory icons (I1, I2, 13, ..., In) are retrieved by the dialog management system (i), from the application (A1, A2, A3, An) upon request [Law column 4 lines 20-50].

Art Unit: 2174

- 9. Regarding claim 5, the complete set of auditory icons (S1, S2, S3, ..., Sn) of an application (A1, A2, A3, ..., An) is acquired by the dialog management system (I) at the outset of a dialog flow between the user and the application (A1, A2, A3, ..., An) or upon activation or installation of the application (A1, A2, A3,An) [Law Figures 4, 5, column 4 lines 3-26].
- application (A1, A2, A3, ..., An) with a unique set of auditory icons (S1, S2, S3, ..., Sn), by modifying non-unique auditory icons (I1, I2, I3, ..., In) in a set of auditory icons (S1, S2, S3, ..., Sn) of the application (A1, A2, A3, ..., An) and/or choosing unique auditory icons (I1, I2, I3,In) for the application (A1, A2, A3, ..., An) from a collection (I3) of auditory icons [Law column 4 lines 15-49, column 5 lines 45-60 note the dialog auditory elements which are selected, placed, and modified].
- 11. Regarding claim 7, the set of auditory icons (SI, S2, S3, ..., Sn) for playback in a dialog flow between a user and an application
- (A1, A2, A3, ..., An) comprises at least one unique start auditory icon, for playback at commencement of the dialog flow and/or at least one unique end auditory icon, for playback at conclusion of a dialog flow [see Law column 4 lines 3-26 and 40-60 specifically, although all of column 4 brings this out].

Art Unit: 2174

- 12. Regarding claim 8, the set of auditory icons (Si, S2, S3, ..., Sn) for playback in a dialog flow between a user and an application
- (A1, A2, A3, ..., An) comprises a number of unique informative auditory icons (I1, I2, I3, ..., In), for playback at specific points during the dialog flow where each auditory icon (I1, I2, I3, ..., In) describes a particular type of feedback from the application (A1, A2, A3, ..., An) [Law column 4 lines 20-25, column 5 lines 25-50].
- 13. Regarding claim 9, auditory icons (I1, I2, I3, ..., In) and/or playback characteristics of the auditory icons (I1, I2, I3, ..., In) are specified for a user in a user profile (3) [Law column 3 line 55 column 4 line 20].
- 14. Regarding claim 10, Law shows a dialog management system for driving a number of application elements (A1, A2, A3 An), comprising an input detection arrangement (4) for detecting user input (5) to the system [Law Figure 2, column 3 lines 35-55]; a sound output arrangement (6) for outputting audible prompt (7) [Law column lines 25-40]; a core dialog engine (8) for coordinating a dialog flow by interpreting user input (5) and generating output prompts [column 4 lines 3-26]; an application interface (i) for communication between the dialog management system (i) and the application elements (A1, A2, A3, ..., An) [column 3 lines 57-67]; a source of unique sets of auditory icons (SI, S2, S3, ..., Sn) assigned to the application elements (A1, A2, A3, ..., An) [Figure 4, column 4 lines 15-50]; and an auditory icon management unit (ii) for selecting relevant auditory icons (I1, I2, I3, ..., In) from the unique sets of auditory icons (S1, S2,

Application/Control Number: 10/599,328

Art Unit: 2174

S3, ..., Sn) corresponding to the application elements (A1, A2, A3, ..., An) for playback at specific points in the dialog flow [Law column 4 lines 20-45, column 5 lines 25-50]. Law does not go into the details that each application element is a separate application per se, but does show distinguishing different functions with auditory icons.

Furthermore, Hiipakka does show representing different applications by auditory icons, to distinguish different functions [abstract, Figure 1, para 26, 28, 32, note the different application examples associated with the auditory icons]. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to have this in Law, because it would be a convenient way to distinguish different functions with auditory icons.

Page 6

- 15. Regarding claim 11, note the means (15) for allowing the user to input auditory icons (I1, I2, I3, ..., In) [Law column 4 lines 4-25].
- 16. Regarding claim 12, note the interface (14) for obtaining individual auditory icons (I1, I2, I3, ..., In) from an external source (12) [note the claim recites in alterative form, and so only the individual auditory icons need be shown, and see Law again column 4 lines 10-20, column 12 lines 10-40 for example, and column 3 lines 10-30].
- 17. Claim 13 shows the same features as claim 1 and is rejected for the same reasons.

Art Unit: 2174

18. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 19. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claim 13 recites a computer program product comprising software code. This is not statutory subject matter.
- 20. Applicant's arguments filed have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The VoiceXML tag of Law need not be the only correspondence to the auditory icon. Furthermore, applicant's claims do not distinguish per se a selection different from that performed in Law even if the tag is first generated. Note that applicant's claims are very broad, and the recitation in claim 1 for example is passive in that the status of the application is informed of a relevant auditory icon selected from the unique set of auditory icons. Law in fact shows this action as described above.

Regarding the 101 rejection, the fact that the product is loadable into the memory, if anything, even supports Examiner's allegation that the product is software code. More pertinently, applicant's claim recites that the product *comprises software code portions*. Software code (portions) simply do not constitute statutory subject matter.

Note though, in view of the amendment and remarks, the objection has been removed from claims 1 and 10.

Art Unit: 2174

21. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

22. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven P. Sax whose telephone number is (571) 272-4072. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dennis Chow can be reached on (571) 272-7767. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2174

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Steven P Sax/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2174
